Closing Remarks

Ryu Sawada

ICEPP, the University of Tokyo

What presented will be completely my personal views.
This talk is representing the community.

Diversity in researches is important

Diversity in researches is important

We actually saw a wide variety of researches targeting on various models/parameters in this symposium

Diversity in researches is important

We actually saw a wide variety of researches targeting on various models/parameters in this symposium

This is maybe obvious, and don’t need to say more in this talk.

Diversity in researches is important

We actually saw a wide variety of researches targeting on various models/parameters in this symposium

This is maybe obvious, and don't need to say more in this talk.

So I am saying something different…

Let’s remember the title.

Let’s remember the title.

Let’s remember the title.

SUSY is dead ? WIMP is dead ?

SUSY is dead ? WIMP is dead ?

Speakers have shown that
they are not dead.

SUSY is dead ? WIMP is dead ?

Speakers have shown that
they are not dead.

Not only that…

SUSY is dead ? WIMP is dead ?

Speakers have shown that
they are not dead.

Not only that…

Thy are motivated for good reason !

But why we haven’t discovered ?

But why we haven't discovered ?



But why we haven't discovered ?





But why we haven't discovered ?

wino



Sawada



Albert



But why we haven't discovered ?



wino

Sawada



wino

Albert



We probably haven't built experiments to reach the most interesting region yet.

But we sometimes hear that

“SUSY is dead ? WIMP is dead ?”

But we sometimes hear that
“SUSY is dead ? WIMP is dead ?”

People are often attracted by new models/ technologies/experiments (instead of SUSY/WIMP searches)

But we sometimes hear that
“SUSY is dead ? WIMP is dead ?”

People are often attracted by new models/ technologies/experiments (instead of SUSY/WIMP searches)

(SUSY and WIMP might sound old and unfashionable already ?)

But we sometimes hear that
“SUSY is dead ? WIMP is dead ?”

People are often attracted by new models/ technologies/experiments (instead of SUSY/WIMP searches)

But we sometimes hear that
“SUSY is dead ? WIMP is dead ?”

People are often attracted by new models/ technologies/experiments (instead of SUSY/WIMP searches)

OK, this is not a bad thing at all
(Diversity is important)

But we sometimes hear that
“SUSY is dead ? WIMP is dead ?”

People are often attracted by new models/ technologies/experiments (instead of SUSY/WIMP searches)

OK, this is not a bad thing at all
(Diversity is important)

But, we should also keep efforts of still-well-motivated searches as long as physically well motivated!

But we sometimes hear that
“SUSY is dead ? WIMP is dead ?”

People are often attracted by new models/ technologies/experiments (instead of SUSY/WIMP searches)

OK, this is not a bad thing at all
(Diversity is important)

But, we should also keep efforts of still-well-motivated searches as long as physically well motivated!

even after a lot of negative results

But in reality

But in reality

We takes time and other resources

to make a huge experiment suddenly.

(100TeV pp collider, 3rd generation direct-DM, full CTA…)

But in reality

We takes time and other resources
to make a huge experiment suddenly.
(100TeV pp collider, 3rd generation direct-DM, full CTA…)

So we need to keep publishing negative results from smaller experiments
(with hoping a lucky discovery)

But in reality

We takes time and other resources
to make a huge experiment suddenly.
(100TeV pp collider, 3rd generation direct-DM, full CTA…)

So we need to keep publishing negative
results from smaller experiments
(with hoping a lucky discovery)

until realising an ultimate experiment
reaching the truly-interesting region.

Until that

Until that

We need to attract (young) scientists
(and public) to keep going,

Until that

We need to attract (young) scientists
(and public) to keep going,
by showing our reasonable motivations,
as done in this Symposium.

Complementarity / Alliance

(theory, collider, direct-, indirect-searches)

We have seen complementarities everywhere.

- Various experiments in each field (collider, direct, in-direct)
- Complementarity between those fields

Complementarity / Alliance

(theory, collider, direct-, indirect-searches)

We have seen complementarities everywhere.

- Various experiments in each field (collider, direct, in-direct)
- Complementarity between those fields

While this hasn't been discussed too much in symposium, we may find benefits of collaboration in technical aspects (detector, electronics, computing…)

Complementarity / Alliance

(theory, collider, direct-, indirect-searches)

We have seen complementarities everywhere.

- Various experiments in each field (collider, direct, in-direct)
- Complementarity between those fields

Complementarity / Alliance

(theory, collider, direct-, indirect-searches)

We have seen complementarities everywhere.

- Various experiments in each field (collider, direct, in-direct)
- Complementarity between those fields

And it is important to show the complementarity and Big Picture of researches to public

Complementarity / Alliance

(theory, collider, direct-, indirect-searches)

As Asai-san mentioned

Complementarity / Alliance

(theory, collider, direct-, indirect-searches)

As Asai-san mentioned

This alliance will be even more important after the discovery of DM

Complementarity / Alliance

(theory, collider, direct-, indirect-searches)

As Asai-san mentioned

This alliance will be even more important after the discovery of DM

Collider cannot say a new particle is DM or not, but can measure the properties.

Complementarity / Alliance

(theory, collider, direct-, indirect-searches)

As Asai-san mentioned

This alliance will be even more important after the discovery of DM

Collider cannot say a new particle is DM or not, but can measure the properties.

If we will be successful with some luck,

Complementarity / Alliance

(theory, collider, direct-, indirect-searches)

As Asai-san mentioned

This alliance will be even more important after the discovery of DM

Collider cannot say a new particle is DM or not, but can measure the properties.

If we will be successful with some luck,

A new era of DM-physics and DM-astronomy may begin in 2020s.

Let’s continue the alliance.

Let’s thank organisers!

Kohei Hayashi, Moritz Hütte, Shoji Asai, Masahiro Teshim, Shigetaka Moriyama, Masahiro Kawasaki, Shigeki Matsumoto, Masahiro Ibe, Tatsuo Yoshida, Masaki Yamashita, Kentaro Miuchi, Koji Terashi, Midori Sugahar, Diana Werner, Ryoko Shirag