# 宇宙の進化と素粒子模型

平成27年度宇宙線研究所共同利用研究成果発表会 宇宙線研究所理論グループ 伊部昌宏

東大宇宙線研 : 川崎、伊部、金田、他名古屋大: 久野京都大学: 瀬波金沢大: 青木東北大: 高橋史宜KEK: 郡東工大: 山口、斎川神奈川大: 粕谷佐賀大: 高橋智(合計23名)国内旅費: 10万円

# Diboson Resonance as a Portal to Hidden Strong Dynamics

with C.W. Chiang (TNCU), H. Fukuda (IPMU), K. Harigaya(UC Berkeley), T.T.Yanagida (IPMU) JHEP 1511 (2015) 015 (arXiv:1507.02483)



(c)



[preferred region : dark blue 70%CL, light blue 95%CL]



No excesses in leptonic modes @ 2TeV... (CMS 1405.3447,ATLAS 1503.04677)

$$\sigma_{WZ} (WZ \rightarrow lv + jets) \lesssim 10 \, fb$$
  
 $\sigma_{WW} (WW \rightarrow lepton + jets) \lesssim 3-5 \, fb$   
 $\sigma_{ZZ} (ZZ \rightarrow lepton + jets) \lesssim 10 \, fb$   
[No BR's of Z,W are multiplied]

# ✓ No excesses in dijet modes @ CMS (CMS 1405.1994) $σ_{ZZ,WW,WZ} (ZZ,WW,WZ → dijet) ≤ 10 \, \text{fb}$

These constraints do not immediately conflict with the ATLAS dijet excesses.

→ We need to wait for Run 2 results !

## Spin-0 from Hidden Strong Dynamics

If the *spin-0* boson is a composite particle resulting from strong dynamics *at the TeV scale*, the production cross section can be sizable !

**Effective theory** (S: composite spin-0 neutral boson)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\kappa_3}{\Lambda} S G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a\ \mu\nu} + \frac{\kappa_2}{\Lambda} S W^i_{\mu\nu} W^{i\ \mu\nu} + \frac{5}{3} \frac{\kappa_1}{\Lambda} S B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$$
(Normalization:  $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4g_s^2} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a\ \mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4g^2} W^i_{\mu\nu} W^{i\ \mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4g'^2} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$ )

( $\Lambda = O(1)TeV$  : dynamical scale)



## Predicted cross section (8TeV)





- ✓ Gray shaded region  $\Gamma_{\rm S}$  > 100 GeV
- (light) Green shaded region σ<sub>γγ</sub> >0.3 fb for B<sub>γγ</sub> = 1% (2%) [ATLAS, 1504.05511]
- Pink shaded region σ<sub>gg</sub> >100 fb
   [ATLAS, 1407.1376, CMS, 1501.04198]
- ✓ Allowed region with  $σ_{WW+ZZ} \sim 5 10 \, fb$

$$\Gamma_{\rm S} = O(10) {\rm GeV}$$
  
 $B_{WW} + B_{ZZ} \gtrsim 0.4$ 

$$\checkmark B_{WW}+B_{ZZ} > 0.4 \text{ is achieved for} \\ \kappa_2/\kappa_3 > 2 - 3.$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\kappa_3}{\Lambda} S G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a\,\mu\nu} + \frac{\kappa_2}{\Lambda} S W^i_{\mu\nu} W^{i\,\mu\nu}$$

## Model of Hidden Dynamics

✓ Gauge Group : SU(N)<sub>H</sub> x SM Gauge group

✓ Matter field : **5 scalars in fundamental representations of SU(N)**<sub>H</sub>

	SU(N) <sub>H</sub>	<b>SU(3)</b> c	SU(2)∟	U(1) <sub>Y</sub>
$Q_L$	N	1	2	1/2
Q₀	N	3	1	1/3

✓ 5 scalars are in  $(3,1)_{1/3}$ ,  $(1,2)_{1/2}$  reps. of  $SU(3)_c x SU(2)_L x U(1)_Y$ 

✓ Explicit Mass term of O(1) TeV ( $m_L^2 < m_D^2$ )

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -m_D^2 Q_D^{\dagger} Q_D - m_L^2 Q_L^{\dagger} Q_L$$

✓ Dynamical Scale :  $\Lambda_{dyn} = O(1)TeV$ 

#### ✓ By taking $m_{D,L} \leq \Lambda_{dyn}$ , we assume that $Q_L^{\dagger}Q_L$ and $Q_D^{\dagger}Q_D$ dominate **S**.

$$S \propto \cos \theta_Q \times [Q_L^{\dagger} Q_L] + \sin \theta_Q \times [Q_D^{\dagger} Q_D]$$

 $(\theta_Q \text{ decreases for } m_D \gg m_L)$ 



Effective Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\kappa_3}{\Lambda} S G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a\ \mu\nu} + \frac{\kappa_2}{\Lambda} S W^i_{\mu\nu} W^{i\ \mu\nu} + \frac{5}{3} \frac{\kappa_1}{\Lambda} S B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$$
$$\frac{\kappa_3}{\Lambda} = \frac{\kappa \sin \theta_Q}{4\pi \Lambda_{\text{dyn}}} , \quad \frac{\kappa_2}{\Lambda} = \frac{\kappa \cos \theta_Q}{4\pi \Lambda_{\text{dyn}}} , \quad \frac{\kappa_1}{\Lambda} = \frac{\kappa}{4\pi \Lambda_{\text{dyn}}} \frac{6}{5} \left( \frac{\sin \theta_Q}{3} + \frac{\cos \theta_Q}{2} \right)$$

[Naive dimensional analysis (NDA) ('97 Luty, '97 Cohen, Kaplan, Nelson)]

 $\Lambda/\kappa = O(1)$  TeV is possible within uncertainties !  $B_{WW}+B_{ZZ} > 0.4$  is possible for a small  $\theta_Q (m_D \gg m_L)$  ! [If we do not use, NDA, we don't have  $4\pi$  suppressions.]

## Dark Matter Candidate ?

Hidden sector so far has a global U(1) symmetry.

The lightest U(1) charged composite field = Baryon !



Abundance ?If  $\sigma_{ann} \sim 4\pi/m_B^2$  (unitarity limit)<br/> $\Omega h^2 \sim 10^{-3} (10 TeV/m_B)^2$ BMesonMesonIf  $\sigma_{ann} \sim 4\pi/m_B^2$  (unitarity limit)<br/> $\Omega h^2 \sim 10^{-3} (10 TeV/m_B)^2$ MesonIf  $\sigma_{ann} \sim 4\pi/m_B^2$  (unitarity limit)<br/> $\Omega h^2 \sim \pi (m_B)^{-4}$ 

The thermal relic density of *B* can be consistent with the observed relic density !

(Detection is very difficult ... heavy and suppressed interactions)

## Summary

ATLAS reported excesses in diboson resonance search.
 (No excesses in leptonic modes nor dijet modes at CMS)

- Standard Model *neutral Spin-0* resonance requires dynamical scale in the TeV range.
- ✓ Simple dynamical model can provide the desired *spin-0* particle for  $m_{L,D} < \Lambda_{dyn}$ .
- The model predicts a lot of new charged particles in the TeV region!
- Dark Matter can be provided as a lightest neutral baryon in the dynamical sector.

The diboson resonance can be a portal to a hidden strong dynamics !

**News!** Apart from the 2TeV excess, **both** ATLAS and CMS reported an excess at 750GeV in di-photon search (2015/12/15)!



(Our model can be tuned to explain this 750GeV signal.)

## **Backup Slides**

## Partial Decay Widths

$$\begin{split} \Gamma(S \to g + g) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \frac{g_s^2 \kappa_3}{\Lambda} \right)^2 M_S^3 \\ \Gamma(S \to W^+ + W^-) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{g^2 \kappa_2}{\Lambda} \right)^2 M_S^3 , \\ \Gamma(S \to Z + Z) &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ \left( \frac{g^2 \kappa_2}{\Lambda} \right) c_W^2 + \frac{3}{5} \left( \frac{g'^2 \kappa_1}{\Lambda} \right) s_W^2 \right]^2 M_S^3 , \\ \Gamma(S \to \gamma + \gamma) &= \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ \left( \frac{g^2 \kappa_2}{\Lambda} \right) s_W^2 + \frac{3}{5} \left( \frac{g'^2 \kappa_1}{\Lambda} \right) c_W^2 \right]^2 M_S^3 , \\ \Gamma(S \to Z + \gamma) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ \left( \frac{g^2 \kappa_2}{\Lambda} \right) - \frac{3}{5} \left( \frac{g'^2 \kappa_1}{\Lambda} \right) \right]^2 c_W^2 s_W^2 M_S^3 , \end{split}$$

## Cross section in terms of the model parameters



- $\checkmark$  Gray shaded region  $\Gamma_{\rm S} > 100 \, {\rm GeV}$
- ✓ (light) Green shaded region σ<sub>γγ</sub> >0.3 fb for B<sub>γγ</sub> = 1% (2%) [ATLAS, 1504.05511]
- ✓ Pink shaded region  $\sigma_{gg}$  >100 fb

### $\checkmark \sigma_{WW} + \sigma_{ZZ} \sim 5 - 10$ fb is allowed $\Lambda/\kappa \sim 1 - 1.4$ TeV

*Naive Dimensional Counting* ('97 Luty, '97 Cohen, Kaplan, Nelson)

$$S \propto \cos \theta_Q \times [Q_L^{\dagger} Q_L] + \sin \theta_Q \times [Q_D^{\dagger} Q_D]$$

```
(\theta_Q \text{ decreases for } m_D \gg m_L)
```

**Operator Matching** 

$$S \simeq \frac{4\pi}{\kappa \Lambda_{\rm dyn}} \cos \theta_Q \times [Q_L^{\dagger} Q_L] + \frac{4\pi}{\kappa \Lambda_{\rm dyn}} \sin \theta_Q \times [Q_D^{\dagger} Q_D]$$

Effective Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\kappa_3}{\Lambda} S G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a\ \mu\nu} + \frac{\kappa_2}{\Lambda} S W^i_{\mu\nu} W^{i\ \mu\nu} + \frac{5}{3} \frac{\kappa_1}{\Lambda} S B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$$
$$\frac{\kappa_3}{\Lambda} = \frac{\kappa \sin \theta_Q}{4\pi \Lambda_{\text{dyn}}} , \quad \frac{\kappa_2}{\Lambda} = \frac{\kappa \cos \theta_Q}{4\pi \Lambda_{\text{dyn}}} , \quad \frac{\kappa_1}{\Lambda} = \frac{\kappa}{4\pi \Lambda_{\text{dyn}}} \frac{6}{5} \left( \frac{\sin \theta_Q}{3} + \frac{\cos \theta_Q}{2} \right)$$

## S is accompanied by charged spin-0 particles $Q_{L,D}^{\dagger}Q_{L,D} \sim (1,1)_0 \times 2, (1,3)_0, (8,1)_0, (3,2)_{5/6}$ [25 states]

✓ Heavier singlets :  $Q_D^{\dagger}Q_D$  dominated but decaying to **S**.

✓ Octet : decaying to two gluons [ $\sigma_{gg} < 30 fb for M_8 > 3 TeV$ ]

✓ Triplet : decaying to *HH*, *WZ* via  $L = (H^{\dagger}\sigma^{i}H)(Q_{L}^{\dagger}\sigma^{i}Q_{L})$ (produced via Drell-Yan process at the LHC)



Higher spin modes are heavier and decay immediately.

## **Electroweak Precision Constraints 1**

The decay operator

 $L = (H^{\dagger} \sigma^{i} H) (Q_{L}^{\dagger} \sigma^{i} Q_{L})$ 

leads to a tadpole to the triplet scalar  $\mathcal{L} \simeq \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} \Lambda_{\rm dyn} H^{\dagger} \sigma^{i} H T^{i} \ ,$ 

Thus, the triplet obtains a small VEV,

$$\langle T^3 \rangle \simeq \frac{\lambda v_{\rm EW}^2 \Lambda_{\rm dyn}}{4\pi M_T^2} = 0.6 \ {\rm GeV} \times \lambda \frac{\Lambda_{\rm dyn}}{1 \ {\rm TeV}} \left(\frac{M_T}{2 \ {\rm TeV}}\right)^{-2}$$

whose contribution to *T*-parameter is small enough.

## **Electroweak Precision Constraints 2**

The decay operator

 $L = (H^{\dagger} \sigma^{i} H) (Q_{L}^{\dagger} \sigma^{i} Q_{L})$ 

also induces

$$\frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{1}{m_L^2} (H^{\dagger} W^i_{\mu\nu} \sigma^i H) B^{\mu\nu}$$

which contributes to **S**-parameter.

Again, it is very small.

## **Electroweak Precision Constraints 3**

*Spin-1* composite fields may have kinetic mixing to the SM gauge boson

e.g. 
$$\epsilon F'_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$$

After removing the kinetic mixing and integrating out F', we end up with

$$\frac{\epsilon^2}{M_F^2} (H^{\dagger} D H)^2 \qquad \frac{\epsilon^2}{M_F^2} J J$$

Both contributes to the electroweak precision measurements but they are small enough for  $M_F > a$  few TeV.